

Comment 1

11-03-08 3:23pm

Name: Babu jegageevanram**City: Bhopal****Organisation: Individual****Country: India**

The PP should explain how it could be justified/confirmed that 22 MW VFD is not common in Indian condition.

How the brine solution will get in contact with VFD.

The technological barrier is not appropriate with respect to size etc.

How the failure of VFD affects the pump operation needs further information, why not it can be assumed that during those time the power is directly connected to the pumps.

What would have been installed in the absence of the project is entirely missing in the PDD.

what is the guarantee that any other frequency/speed control devices would not have installed in the absence of this project needs to be elaborated.

How would be the variation in the RO plant requirement would have been met in the absence of VFD needs justification.

The project activity is not about desalination plant but about installation of VFD.

It is to be confirmed that there are no other industrial process pumps of above 22 MW have installed VFD.

The sanctity of the statement on first of its kind in the world require further justification.

The subsidised cost of electricity for industrial consumer in tamilnadu needs further justification and it should also provide documents that the PP has got a lesser tariff than other industrial units.

THE BASELINE EQUIPMENT IN THE ABSENCE OF THE VFD IS MISSING DOES IT IMPLIES NO DRIVES WOULD HAVE BEEN THERE.

there is no information how the emission reductions are computed and what basis that has been estimated.

Why there is a change in estimated emission reduction per annum.