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SECTION A. General description of project activity

A.1 Title of the project activity:

KSPCL Waste Heat to Power project, India

Version 1.0
Date: 02/01/2007

A.2 Description of the project activity:

The project activity entails utilisation of waste heat of flue gases generated in DRI kilns of sponge iron plant of Kamachi Sponge & Power Corporation Limited (“KSPCL” hereafter) in power generation. The power produced will be used actively at sponge iron plant of KSPCL. This will displace equivalent amount of power from the Tamilnadu Electricity Board (TNEB) grid, which is part of Southern Region (SR) grid in India and is primarily fossil fuel based. The project activity would result in reduced emissions by avoiding generation of this power in grid connected power stations. The grid emission factor for SR grid is 0.85 tCO2e/MWh\(^1\).

KSPCL has set up 04 nos. DRI kilns of 100 TPD each at its sponge iron production unit. Annual sponge iron production is ~120000 TPA. Each of the kilns generates ~25000 Nm\(^3\)/hr of high temperature flue gases. The temperature of flue gases from the kiln leaving After Burner Chamber (ABC) is at ~950-1000 deg C. This waste heat of flue gases will be utilised in generation of steam in Waste Heat Recovery Boilers (WHRB), which is further expanded in a single bleed-condensing turbine of 10MW to generate power. Steam from 04 nos. WHRB will be taken to the turbine through a common header. In the absence of the project activity, KSPCL would draw power from TNEB grid. The project activity thus displaces equivalent amount of power generation in SR grid connected power stations.

The project faces many barriers\(^2\) to its implementation and KSPCL envisage covering the risk in the project activity with CDM backed benefit.

Sustainability aspect of the project activity:
The proposed project activity has a number of sustainability aspects as described below –

- The project activity helps reducing GHG emission in power generation in the grid, which is primarily fossil fuel based
- It helps in conservation of natural resources i.e. fossil fuels in power generation
- It generates employment during construction/ commissioning and later on operation & maintenance of the plant
- It provides the necessary impetus to other industries to come up with similar projects and become self-sustainable for their power needs

---

\(^1\) Baseline Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Power Sector – Central Electricity Authority, CEA

\(^2\) Refer section B.5 for details
With many projects coming up, technology suppliers/manufacturers will put in more efforts/ funds in further improvement of equipment/ machinery and help in removing existing technological barriers to implementation of such project activities.

Reduced emissions of NOx and SOx in power generation.

### A.3. Project participants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Party involved (*) (host) indicates a host Party</th>
<th>Private and/or public entity(ies)</th>
<th>Kindly indicate if the party involved wishes to be considered as project participant (yes/no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government of India (Host)</td>
<td>Kamachi Sponge &amp; Power Corporation Limited (KSPCL)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A.4. Technical description of the project activity:

#### A.4.1. Location of the project activity:

**A.4.1.1. Host Party(ies):**

Host country: India

**A.4.1.2. Region/State/Province etc.:**

District: Tiruvallur
State: Tamilnadu

**A.4.1.3. City/Town/Community etc:**

Village: Papan Kuppam
Town: Gummudi Pundi

**A.4.1.4. Detail of physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of this project activity (maximum one page):**

The project activity is located in Tiruvallur District in the state of Tamilnadu. The project site is nearly ~50 km. from the city of Chennai and nearest highway is NH 5. The physical location is depicted in the maps below –
A.4.2. Category(ies) of project activity:

Approved consolidated baseline methodology **ACM0004 “Consolidated baseline methodology for waste gas and/or heat and/or pressure for power generation”**

Reference: Version 02, Sectoral Scope 01, dated 03rd March 2006

A.4.3. Technology to be employed by the project activity:

KSPCL has installed 4X10 TPH WHRB for utilising high temperature heat of flue gases from 4X100 TPD DRI kilns. The temperature of flue gases after ‘After Burning Chamber’ (ABC) is at 950-1000 deg C. Steam is generated at 67 kg/cm2 and 485 deg C and expanded in one single bleed-condensing turbine of 10MW to generate power.

**Waste Heat Recovery Boiler**
Capacity 10 TPH
Steam Pressure 67 kg/cm²
Steam Temperature 485 ± 5 deg C
Nos. 04 Nos.
Flue gas inlet temp. 950 deg C
Flue gas inlet to ESP 175 deg C

Turbine
Rated Capacity 10 MW
Steam Inlet Pressure 65 kg/cm²
Steam Inlet Temperature 485 ± 5 deg C
Nos. 1 Nos.
Bleed pressure for deaerator 4 ATA

### A.4.4 Estimated amount of emission reductions over the chosen crediting period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Annual estimation of emission reductions in tonnes of CO2 e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>38556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>38556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>41310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>41310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total estimated reductions (tonnes of CO2 e)</strong></td>
<td><strong>424116</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of crediting years</strong></td>
<td><strong>10 years fixed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual average over the crediting period of estimated reductions (tonnes of CO2e)</td>
<td>42412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A.4.5. Public funding of the project activity:**

No public funding (ODA and/or Annex 1 countries) for the project activity.
SECTION B. Application of a baseline and monitoring methodology

B.1. Title and reference of the approved baseline and monitoring methodology applied to the project activity:

- Approved consolidated baseline methodology ACM0004 “Consolidated baseline methodology for waste gas and/or heat and/or pressure for power generation”
  - Reference: Version 02, Sectoral Scope 01, dated 03rd March 2006

- Approved consolidated monitoring methodology ACM0004 “Consolidated monitoring methodology for waste gas and/or heat and/or pressure for power generation”
  - Reference: Version 02, Sectoral Scope 01, dated 03rd March 2006

- Approved consolidated baseline methodology ACM0002 “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”
  - Reference: Version 06, Sectoral Scope 01, dated 19 May 2006

- Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality (version 02)
  - Reference: Version 02, dated 28 November 2005

B.2 Justification of the choice of the methodology and why it is applicable to the project activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Applicability Criteria</th>
<th>Project Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved Consolidated methodology ACM0004; “Consolidated baseline methodology for waste gas and/or heat and/or pressure for power generation”</td>
<td>This methodology applies to project activities that generate electricity from waste heat or the combustion of waste gases in industrial facilities.</td>
<td>The proposed project activity is a power generation project from waste heat from DRI kilns in a sponge iron plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The methodology applies to electricity generation project activities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>That displace electricity generation with fossil fuels in the electricity grid or displace captive electricity generation from fossil fuels;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The project activity displaces Tamilnadu Electricity Board (TNEB) power, part of SR grid, which is predominantly fossil fuel based.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.3. Description of the sources and gases included in the project boundary

For the purpose of determining GHG emissions of the project activity, project participants shall include:

1. CO₂ emissions from combustion from auxiliary fossil fuels

For the purpose of determining baseline emissions, following emission sources have been included:

2. CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel fired power plants connected to the electricity system;
3. CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel fired captive power plants supplying the project site facility;
The spatial extent of the project boundary comprises the waste heat or gas sources, captive power generating equipment, any equipment used to provide auxiliary heat to the waste heat recovery process, and the power plants connected physically to the electricity grid that the proposed project activity will affect.

The combined margin is calculated as described in ACM0002 (latest version), both in terms of the relevant grid definitions and the emissions factors.

Following emissions sources are included in the project boundary for determination of both baseline and project emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Justification/explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Emissions</td>
<td>Grid electricity generation</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Included Main emission source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification. This is conservative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification. This is conservative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Captive electricity generation</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Excluded This is not applicable to project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification. This is conservative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification. This is conservative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Emissions</td>
<td>On-site fossil fuel consumption due to project activity</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Excluded Project activity does not entail use of fossil fuels in the project activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combustion of waste gas for electricity generation</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Excluded Project activity entails use of waste heat of the flue gases from DRI kilns for power generation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>Excluded Excluded for simplification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.4. Description of how the baseline scenario is identified and description of the identified baseline scenario:

The baseline scenario alternatives include all possible options that provide or produce electricity for in-house consumption excluding baseline options that either do not comply with legal and regulatory requirements or depend on key resources such as fuels, materials or technology that are not available at the project site.

The identified possible alternative scenarios in absence of the CDM project activity are as follows:

1. The proposed project activity not undertaken as a CDM project activity
2. Import of electricity from the grid as continuation of current scenario
3. Captive power generation based on coal
4. Captive power generation based on diesel
5. Captive power generation based on gas
6. Captive power generation based on hydro/wind

All above options have been analyzed on whether these comply with the legal and regulatory requirements and/or depend on key resources such as fuels, materials or technology that are not available at the project site.
Hence, identified alternatives available to KSPCL for power are following:

1. Import of electricity from the grid as continuation of current scenario
2. Captive power generation based on coal
3. Captive power generation based on diesel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power Source</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed project activity from GRSPL not undertaken as CDM project activity</td>
<td>Energy source required in power generation in the project activity is available as waste heat in high temperature flue gases from DRI kilns of KSPCL’s sponge iron plant. This alternative is in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements but faces many barriers and would not have come up in the absence of CDM benefits (as detailed in section B.5) hence this option is not a baseline option.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal based CPP</td>
<td>The KSPCL project site is close to Singreni Colliery in Andhra Pradesh and coal is procured for sponge iron plant from this colliery. Coal can also be imported as Chennai port is only 60 km from project site. Coal could also be procured for power generation. Char, a byproduct from sponge iron kilns having good fuel properties can also be used in power generation. The advantages for this option are manifold such as high PLF, well established technology, easily available char and coal fines within the company and from neighbouring sponge iron industries. This alternative is in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements and can become a baseline option.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel based CPP</td>
<td>Availability of diesel for DG set is not a problem in Tamilnadu state and is a likely proposition for KSPCL. This alternative is in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements and can become a baseline option.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas based CPP</td>
<td>In India there are total 147 sponge iron plants based on coal and only 3 of these are based on natural gas. All the 3 units are based in the western part of India where plants have proximity to natural gas. None of the units is based in Tamilnadu because natural gas is not available in Tamilnadu. Hence, this option is ruled out as an alternative baseline scenario.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable power</td>
<td>This option is in line with legal and regulatory requirements of centre and state as applicable. But the sources of hydro and/or wind are not available to the project proponent at the site; hence ruled out as plausible alternative scenario.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Import from Grid</td>
<td>This alternative is in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements and thus can become a baseline option. KSPCL has been drawing power from the grid prior project activity and it would be only natural for KSPCL to continue with the same practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These alternatives are analysed based on cost of the plant and cost of power drawn/ generation from each of the alternatives and following conclusion can be drawn-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Grid based power</th>
<th>Coal based CPP</th>
<th>Diesel based CPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Power</td>
<td>Rs 2.55 basic cost + demand charges</td>
<td>Rs. 1.56/kWh estimated by CEA is for coal based power plants.</td>
<td>~ Rs 5.96/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The cost of power plant assumed by CEA is Rs. 40 million per MW capacity.</td>
<td>Source: Central Electricity Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This is on the higher side and thermal power plants can be installed at a lesser cost. This would reduce the cost of power generation from thermal power plant based on coal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Also, KSPCL would use char from the kiln along with coal and this would further reduce the cost of generation further. Char is a residual waste from DRI kilns.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Source: Central Electricity Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the above information it is evident that “Import of electricity from the grid” requires the minimum investment. Coal based power plant is also a feasible option due to low cost of power generation compared to that based on Diesel and this makes it the best option available for meeting power requirement in its plant. The DRI kilns also generate a lot of char that has fuel properties and can be used along with coal in a coal based power plant. This would help in further reduction in cost of power generation. This makes the coal based power generation the most sought after choice for power generation at the KSPCL site too. However as grid based power has lower emission factor as compared to coal based power generation, adopting conservative approach to estimation of emission reduction “Import of electricity from the grid” has been considered as the baseline scenario in this project activity.

B.5. **Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of GHG by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the registered CDM project activity (assessment and demonstration of additionality):**

Additionality of the project activity is determined based on **Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality** (version 02); dated 28 November 2005.

This document provides for a step-wise approach to demonstrate and assess additionality. These steps include:
1. Identification of alternatives to the project activity;
2. Investment analysis to determine that the proposed project activity is not the most economically or financially attractive;
3. Barriers analysis;
4. Common practice analysis; and
5. Impact of registration of the proposed project activity as a CDM project activity.

Step 1. Identification of alternatives to the project activity consistent with current laws and regulations

Sub-step 1a. Define alternatives to the project activity:
As explained in section B.4 following are considered plausible alternatives to proposed project activity:

1. The proposed project activity not undertaken as a CDM project activity
2. Import of electricity from the grid as continuation of current scenario
3. Captive power generation based on coal
4. Captive power generation based on diesel

Sub-step 1b. Enforcement of applicable laws and regulations:
All the alternatives listed as above are well in line with the regulatory requirements of the state and central authority in India and neither of these is prohibited from prevailing rules and regulations. Thus, all the alternatives qualify for the next step of the tool.

However, in section B.4 these alternatives have been analysed and as a conservative approach to estimation of emission reduction in the project activity, import of grid power has been selected as the baseline scenario.

Step 2: Investment Analysis
At this step it has been determined whether the project activity is economically or financially viable and attractive without the sale of Certified Emissions Reduction (CER). Project developer has done comparison of levelized cost of electricity generation in Rs./kWh for WHRB (project activity) with that in a coal/char based AFBC system.

Information & assumptions for WHRB:
Capital Cost: Rs 4800 Lacs (Including Plant & machinery and Building & civil works, however Contingency and Margin money for working capital are not included)
Debt/Equity Ratio: 3:1
Power Generation: 80% Plant load factor
Expected return on equity: 16% (this is return which Govt of India guarantees for Independent Power producers (IPPs) in India.
O&M: 3.5% of capital cost
Debt details: 11.50%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Waste heat recovery power based generation</th>
<th>Coal based power generation</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


1. Levelized cost of power generation
   - Rs 1.97 (including variable cost, depreciation, interest payments, minimum demand charges paid for grid connection)
   - Power generation using waste heat is not the most economical option available to KSPCL.

2. Sensitivity of power generation cost based on 5% increase in PLF
   - Rs 1.85
   - Cost of power generation is still much higher than coal based power.

3. Sensitivity of power generation cost based on 10% decrease in PLF
   - Rs 1.75
   - Cost of power generation is still much higher than coal/char based power.

Above analysis shows that power generation using waste heat from DRI kiln is not the most economical option. Cost of per unit power generation from WHRB is higher compared to coal/char because of the following reasons:

- High capital cost compared to AFBC (4 boilers are required for 4 kilns which further escalates the project cost which is not required for coal based power project because one large size boiler could be utilised for the same, different material/equipments to tackle technical problems associated with waste gas)
- Low plant load factor (due to various factors related to availability of waste gas which in turn depend upon operating performance of DRI kiln, availability related problems described in following sections).

Above analysis demonstrates that project activity is not a financially attractive option even if key assumptions on the basis of which cost/unit has been calculated are changed and is thus additional.

**Step 3: Barrier Analysis**

The proposed project activity faces a number of barriers to its implementation in current scenario, which have been discussed in the sections below –

**Technological barriers**

Fluctuating production from kiln

Success of the proposed project activity depends on uninterrupted supply of energy input from waste gases of kilns at consistently high temperatures to waste heat recovery boilers. The graph below shows how power generation through waste heat recovery changes with change in the flow of waste gases.

---

3 Source: Central Electricity Authority expert committee report on cost of power generation
However, supply of high temperature flue gases depends on continuous operation of kilns. The variation in production from the kiln has direct impact on quantity of coal burned in kiln and hence on the quantum of waste gas generation. Low capacity utilisation of kilns would have direct impact on project’s viability. Low capacity utilization of kilns may be linked to operational problems, technological issues and unavailability and quality of raw material for kiln operation. These have been discussed in following sections.

As shown above power generation potential directly depends on kiln capacity utilization. Any direct or indirect aspect which impacts kiln production, impacts negatively power generation from the project activity too.

**Raw material un-availability**

As per the survey from Joint Plant Committee (JPC) set up by Government of India, major constraints today faced by sponge iron industry are related to raw material (availability & prices), power and to some extent labour & investments. Management of iron ore for kiln is a major hurdle in successful and continuous operation of kiln. With growing numbers of sponge iron plants, this situation will only become worse affecting small & medium industries more. A feature in Jan 2006 edition of Steel World reports that 70 of 115 units in Chattisgarh went on strike in December 2006 and stopped production due to recurring shortage of iron ore. The scarcity of raw material has a direct impact on price and/or quality of raw materials and that also makes the entire project risky.

**Raw material quality**

Availability constraint for raw material has forced industries to opt for iron ore of lesser quality. Quality of iron ore is judged on the basis of Fe content, moisture level and presence of fines in it. Fines in iron ore is not desired as most of the fines escape during reduction process from the kiln and result in more losses & low production. The presence of fines in flue gases also causes problems in WHRB operation. Similarly presence of iron ore with size larger than normal requires more coal. This leads to more load in

---

4 [http://www.steelworld.com/analysis0106.pdf](http://www.steelworld.com/analysis0106.pdf); “Ban on ore exports gaining momentum”
form of particulate matter in flue gases. Also particulate matter carries some heat of coal and quantum of actual usable energy is reduced at WHRB inlet.

**Problems in operation & maintenance**

- Handling of high particulate matter laden waste gas from kiln is tricky. High PM level causes erosion and abrasion of mechanical parts which is speeded up at high temperature of these gases. Higher rate of erosion than normal may lead to more frequent changes in mechanical parts/machinery resulting in more shutdown and/or breakdown of system.

- Other than erosion of parts, fusion of ash and formation of clinker build up at high temperatures is another area of concern. This phenomenon is called “Accretion”. Accretion leads to clinker build up inside the kiln, restricting its opening, which requires frequent cleaning and hence more kiln stoppages or shorter campaign life. Shorter campaign life directly impacts availability of waste gases for power generation in project activity. This is further affected if inferior quality of raw material is used in kiln. The problem is particularly severe to small capacity (100 TPD) kilns with smaller sizes as in the project activity.

- Presence of sulphur aggravates the situation as it restricts the temperature gradient available for utilization. For, if temperature of waste gas is brought below 170 deg C, then possibility of sulphuric acid formation in the system is increased leading to corrosion of vital machinery/parts in down stream. This keeps a tab on extent of utilization of waste heat in the system in power generation. The presence of moisture at times complicates the situation as it speed up the formation of acid in economiser area. In case of WHRB the economiser life may be affected because of formation of sulphurous and sulphuric acid in economiser.

The above problems associated with kiln operation result in fluctuating production i.e. fluctuating quantum of waste heat availability for steam generation in WHRB. This is specific to WHRB operation only and not the case with coal/char based FBC boilers or with power drawl from the grid.

**Barriers due to prevailing practice**

KSPCL would potentially generate ~10MW power in the project activity. Other than auxiliary power consumption (approx. 10% of gross power generation), 2MW would be the power requirement at the sponge iron plant. KSPCL thus would have additional power that can be utilized elsewhere. This power could also be sold to other companies in the neighbourhood but the policies of Tamilnadu Electricity Regulatory Commission (TNERC) prevents third party sale. In case of surplus, power can only be sold to TNEB grid but the power price is less. So, KSPCL had to look for other options and decided to wheel this power to another group unit far off from the project site using state grid. In this case too, wheeling charges are at a staggering 12% of the net power supplied to the grid resulting in reduced sale realisation for the surplus power.

All these barriers as described above pose many obstacles for such project activity to happen and hence it is not a business-as-usual scenario.

**Step 4: Common Practice**

As per one report\(^6\) conducted by Joint Plant Committee (JPC) constituted by Government of India, the total no of coal based sponge iron plants in India is 147 and gas based sponge iron plants is 3 with a cumulative capacity of 17 million tonnes. Only 16 units out of 147 have existing facilities for captive power generation plant. In Tamilnadu, none of existing sponge iron plants has captive power generation facility based on waste heat recovery. The data is indicative of the fact that despite DRI gas being of no alternative use, sponge iron plants have not opted for power plants due to the barriers as described in sections above. The DRI based power generation plants do face barriers which are real. KSPCL’s is one such project activity and is a true case for CDM registration as it is not business-as-usual case.

**Step 5: Impact of CDM registration**

The registration of the proposed project activity as CDM project would help in covering the risks involved with such projects. This will help in enhancing the viability of project which otherwise is affected by low PLF, unavailability of DRI gas due to shut-down or break-down and other factors. This will also encourage other sponge iron plants in Chattisgarh and on national level to come up with similar power generation plants. This would provide the required impetus to technology providers to further their efforts towards better technology development for the use of DRI kiln gas energy in power generation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B.6. Emission reductions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| B.6.1. Explanation of methodological choices: |

**Project Emissions**

Project Emissions are applicable only if auxiliary fuels are fired for generation startup, in emergencies, or to provide additional heat gain before entering the Waste Heat Recovery Boiler.

\[
PE_y = \sum_i Q_i \times NCV_i \times EF_i \times \frac{44}{12} \times OXID_i
\]

where:

- \(PE_y\) = Project emissions in year \(y\) (tCO\(_2\))
- \(Q_i\) = Mass or volume unit of fuel \(i\) consumed (t or m\(^3\) or KL)
- \(NCV_i\) = Net calorific value per mass or volume unit of fuel \(i\) (TJ/t or m\(^3\) or KL)
- \(EF_i\) = Carbon emissions factor per unit of energy of the fuel \(i\) (tCO\(_2\)/TJ)
- \(OXID_i\) = Oxidation factor of the fuel \(i\) (%)

**Baseline Emissions**

\[
BE_{electricity,y} = EG_y \cdot EF_{electricity,y}
\]

where:

- \(EG_y\) = Net quantity of electricity supplied to the manufacturing facility by the project during the year \(y\); MWh
- \(EF_y\) = CO\(_2\) baseline emission factor for the electricity displaced due to the project activity during the year \(y\); tCO\(_2\)/MWh

---

\(^6\) "Survey of the Indian Sponge Iron Industry : 2005-06" by Joint Plant Committee
CO2 baseline emission factor in the baseline scenario is determined to be grid power supply, the Emissions Factor for displaced electricity is calculated as described ACM0002.

**Leakage**
No leakage is considered.

**Emission Reduction**
\[ ER_y = BE_y - PE_y \]

where:
- \( ER_y \) = Emission reduction of the project activity during the year \( y \) in tons of CO2
- \( BE_y \) = Baseline emission due to displacement of electricity during the year \( y \) in tons of CO2
- \( PE_y \) = Project emissions during the year \( y \) in tons of CO2

**Grid Emission Factor**
Grid Emission Factor for Southern Grid (Grid in the project activity) has been taken from “CO2 Baseline Database for the Indian Power Sector” – Central Electricity Authority (CEA); Ministry of Power.

**B.6.2. Data and parameters that are available at validation:**

(Copy this table for each data and parameter)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>CM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>tCO2/ MWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Combined Margin – SR grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data used:</td>
<td>“CO2 Baseline Database for the Indian Power Sector” – Central Electricity Authority (CEA); Ministry of Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value applied:</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures actually applied:
The combined margin has been estimated following the guidelines described in ACM0002, version 06. This is reliable data as it has been estimated by Central Electricity Authority, which has access to data on power generation from all the power plants in a grid and is therefore reliable.

**B.6.3. Ex-ante calculation of emission reductions:**

**Project Emissions:**
No fuel requirement for start up in waste heat recovery boiler hence,
\[ PE_y = 0.0 \text{ tCO2e/ annum} \]
Baseline Emissions:
Power generation capacity from waste gas = 10 MW
Plant run hours = 7200 per annum (300 * 24)
Load factor = 70 % (For first year)
Auxiliary consumption = 10 %
Grid emission factor = 0.850 tCO2e/ MWh
Net power generation = 10 X 7200 X 0.70 X (1-0.1) = 45360 MWh per annum

Baseline emissions BEy = 45360 X 0.850 = 38556 tCO2e per annum

Emissions reduction = 38556 – 0.0 = 38556 tCO2e per annum

B.6.4 Summary of the ex-ante estimation of emission reductions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Estimation of project activity emissions (tonnes of CO2e)</th>
<th>Estimation of baseline emissions (tonnes of CO2e)</th>
<th>Estimation of leakage (tonnes of CO2e)</th>
<th>Estimation of overall emission reductions (tonnes of CO2e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38556</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38556</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41310</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41310</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (tonnes of CO2e)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>424116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>424116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.7 Application of the monitoring methodology and description of the monitoring plan:

B.7.1 Data and parameters monitored:
(Copy this table for each data and parameter)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>EGy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>MWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Net power supplied to manufacturing facility due to waste heat recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data to be used:</td>
<td>Plant operation data on power generation in project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of data applied</td>
<td>45360 (For the first year)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5

| Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied: | Calculated based on daily gross power generation and auxiliary power consumption in the power generation plant. |
| QA/QC procedures to be applied: | |
| Any comment: | |

| Data / Parameter: | EG\textsubscript{GEN} |
| Data unit: | MWh |
| Description: | Gross power generation from project activity |
| Source of data to be used: | Plant operation data on power generation in project activity |
| Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5 | 50400 (For the first year) |

| Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied: | Gross power generation is measured directly using energy meter installed at the site. |
| QA/QC procedures to be applied: | Energy meter is calibrated as per schedule. |
| Any comment: | |

| Data / Parameter: | EG\textsubscript{AUX} |
| Data unit: | MWh |
| Description: | Auxiliary power consumption in project activity |
| Source of data to be used: | Plant operation data on power generation in project activity |
| Value of data applied for the purpose of calculating expected emission reductions in section B.5 | 5040 (at 10% of gross power generation) |

| Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied: | Auxiliary power consumption in the project activity is measured directly. |
| QA/QC procedures to be applied: | Energy meter is calibrated as per schedule. |
| Any comment: | |
B.7.2 Description of the monitoring plan:

KSPCL has procedure for monitoring and recording of data on operation & maintenance of the plant/equipments. The equipments/ instruments used for CDM project are also part of the procedures and records on maintenance and rectification done on all the equipments are maintained.

Various departments at KSPCL are headed by respective HOD (Head of Department) supported by shift-in-charges & support staff. Departments are mainly divided into projects, mechanical, electrical & instrumentation, production, QC and administration. Mechanical & electrical department are responsible for the overall upkeep of plant, plant machinery and instruments.

Mr. Sunil Patodia-Managing Director is responsible for the overall functioning of the sponge iron plant. KSPCL proposes adoption of following procedures to assure the completeness and correctness of the data needed to be monitored for CDM project activity.

Formation of CDM Team:
A CDM project team is constituted with participation from relevant sections. This team is responsible for data collection and archiving. This team will periodically review CDM project activity, check data collected, emissions reduced etc. On a monthly basis, the monitoring reports will be checked and discussed by the senior CDM team members. In case of any irregularity observed by any of the CDM team members, it will be informed to the concerned person for necessary actions. Further these reports will then be forwarded to the management monthly basis.

- **Unit Head:** Overall responsibility of compliance with the CDM monitoring plan.
- **Power plant In-charge:** Responsibility for completeness of data, reliability of data (calibration of meters), and monthly report generation
- **Shift In-charge:** Responsibility of data monitoring & recording

Day to day data collection and record keeping:
Plant data will be collected on operation under the supervision of the respective Shift-in-charge and record is kept in daily logs.

**Reliability of data collected-**
The reliability of the meters is checked by testing the meters on yearly basis. Documents pertaining to testing of meters are maintained.

**Frequency-**
The frequency for data monitoring is as per the monitoring details in Section B.7.1 of the document.

**Archiving of data-**
Data shall be kept for two years after the crediting period (total 12 years)

**Checking data for its correctness and completeness:**
The CDM team is overall responsible for checking data for its completeness and correctness. The data collected from daily logs is recorded after verification from respective departments.
Calibration of instruments:
KSPCL has procedures defined for the calibration of instruments. A log of calibration records is maintained. Electrical & Instrumentation department in the company is responsible for the upkeep of instruments in the plant.

Maintenance of instruments and equipments used in data monitoring:
The process department is responsible for the proper functioning of the equipments/ instruments and informs the concerned department for corrective action if found not operating as required. Corrective action is taken by the concerned department and a report on corrective action taken is maintained as done time to time along with the details of problems rectified.

Report generation on monitoring:
After verification of the data and due diligence on correctness if required an annual report on monitoring and estimations shall be maintained by the CDM team and record to this effect is maintained for verification.

### B.8 Date of completion of the application of the baseline study and monitoring methodology and the name of the responsible person(s)/entity(ies)

Date: 20/12/2006

Sunil Patodia – Managing Director
**Kamachi Sponge & Power Corporation Limited** (Also a project participant)
21, Jones Street, II Floor
Chennai, Tamilnadu-600 001, India
Phone: 044-25234393/ 25230394
Fax: 044-25234393
Mobile: 098410 13844

### SECTION C. Duration of the project activity / crediting period

#### C.1 Duration of the project activity:

#### C.1.1 Starting date of the project activity:

29/05/2006

#### C.1.2 Expected operational lifetime of the project activity:

25 years
C.2 Choice of the crediting period and related information:

C.2.1. Renewable crediting period

C.2.1.1. Starting date of the first crediting period:

NA

C.2.1.2. Length of the first crediting period:

NA

C.2.2. Fixed crediting period:

C.2.2.1. Starting date:

01/05/2007

C.2.2.2. Length:

10 years

SECTION D. Environmental impacts

D.1. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts, including transboundary impacts:

An Environment Impact assessment study for the project was done. Following areas were covered in the study –

1. Assessment of existing level of pollution on Air, water, noise, which included monitoring of ambient air quality
2. Collection of metrological data
3. Assessment of existing status of water, air, flora, fauna, demographic and socioeconomic factors
4. Assessment of impact of construction activities
5. Study of proposed pollution control equipments
6. Study of short term and long term impacts on endangered species and wild life, plants and economically important crop

The report was submitted to Tamilnadu Pollution Control Board (TNPCB).

D.2. If environmental impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, please provide conclusions and all references to support documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party:
Biological Environment | Natural vegetation is not considerable and there are no rare and/or endangered species within 10 km range. | No impact on these parameters envisaged
---|---|---
Water environment | No industrial waste water generated from the plant. Domestic waste will be treated prior to disposal in septic tanks followed by soak pit. | No adverse impact envisaged
Air environment | During construction and other infrastructural activities and increased movements of vehicles, the level of suspended is likely to go higher slightly. No significant SO2 and NOx contribution from the plant are envisaged. Stack height is designed considering SO2 emissions. | No adverse impact envisaged
Land environment | Forest cover is not available in the project area. There will be no soil erosion as garland drain and sump will be constructed. | In development of green belt any adverse impact will be minimised.
Noise environment | Increased vehicular movement and construction activities may result in impact on noise environment. Occupational noise exposure is minimised using protective gears. | No adverse impact
Socio-economic environment | The construction and operation activities will create some new employment at local and regional level. Positive impacts are anticipated due to project activity. | No adverse impact

### SECTION E. Stakeholders’ comments

E.1. Brief description how comments by local stakeholders have been invited and compiled:

Stakeholder consultation is carried out at different levels to cover a wide section of stakeholders. Local people, local government authorities and pollution control board were identified as stakeholders to project activity. KSPCL invited local people to attend a general meeting. An advertisement was also published on the project activity requesting people to give their suggestions/ comments. Local gram panchayat was reached and a letter requesting a meeting with Commissioner-Gummidipoondi Panchayat on project activity was sent. District Collector - Thiruvallur was also sent a letter with details of project activity with a request for discussion on project activity.
E.2. **Summary of the comments received:**

Meting with general public was held at KSPCL plant premises. Project activity was first explained and how it would help in better environment conditions in and around the area. The impact of the project activity due to reduction in emissions of greenhouse gases was also discussed. People enthusiastically participated in the proceedings and congratulated KSPCL for doing the good work.

E.3. **Report on how due account was taken of any comments received:**

Project activity from KSPCL received no negative comment from any of the stakeholders consulted.
## Annex 1

CONTACT INFORMATION ON PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROJECT ACTIVITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization:</th>
<th>Kamachi Sponge &amp; Power Corporation Limited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Street/P.O.Box:</td>
<td>21, Jones Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building:</td>
<td>II Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>Chennai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State/Region:</td>
<td>Tamilnadu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postfix/ZIP:</td>
<td>600 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country:</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>044-25234393/ 25230394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAX:</td>
<td>044-25234393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Mail:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Represented by:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Managing Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salutation:</td>
<td>Mr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name:</td>
<td>Patodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name:</td>
<td>Sunil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile:</td>
<td>91-98410 13844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct FAX:</td>
<td>044-25272110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct tel:</td>
<td>044-25234393/ 25230394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal E-Mail:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:eandcc@gmail.com">eandcc@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 2

INFORMATION REGARDING PUBLIC FUNDING

No public funding from Annex 1 and / or ODA for the project activity.
Annex 3

BASELINE INFORMATION

Grid emission factor for the Southern Grid is taken as suggested in “CO2 Baseline Database for the Indian Power Sector” by Central Electricity Authority (CEA), Ministry of Power, Government of India.

The value for Combined Margin for Southern Grid (grid in the project activity) is given as 0.850 tCO2e/MWh.
Annex 4

MONITORING INFORMATION

Details of monitoring plan are given in section B.7.2 of this document.